

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/801,327

02/18/97 -

MULLER

E

1-20161/A/C0

IM22/1208

EXAMINER

JOANN VILLAMIZAR CIBA SPECIALTY CHEMICALS CORPORATION P 0 BOX 2005

520 WHITE PLAINS ROAD TARRYTOWN NY 10591-9005

1751

EINSMANN, M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: . 12/08/99

ANDREA DECECCHIS DOCKET COORDINATOR PATENT DEPARTMENT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 08/801.327

on No. Applicant(s)

Muller

Examiner

Margaret Einsmann

Group Art Unit 1751

			NSE: [check only a) or b)]		
	a) 💢	expires3	months from the mailing dat	e of the final rejection.	
	b) 🗌	expires either three is later. In no ever rejection.	ee months from the mailing date ent, however, will the statutory	o of the final rejection, or on the ma period for the response expire later	illing date of this Advisory Action, whichever than six months from the date of the final
	date on	which the respons	se, the petition, and the fee have	e been filed is the date of the respo	osed response and the appropriate fee. The onse and also the date for the purposes of a fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be not forth in b) above.
	Appell period	ant's Brief is due for response set	e two months from the date t forth above, whichever is	e of the Notice of Appeal filed later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d)	on (or within any and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Ap	plicant	's response to th	ne final rejection, filed on _ ce the application in condit	<i>Nov 24, 1999</i> has been c	onsidered with the following effect, RECEIVED
	The pr	oposed amendm	ient(s):		RECL
	☐ wi	ill be entered upo	on filing of a Notice of App	eal and an Appeal Brief.	DEC 1 4 1999'
	☐ wi	ill not be entered	because:	•	
		they raise new	issues that would require for	urther consideration and/or sea	arch. (See note below) DEA DECECCHIS
		they raise the is	ssue of new matter. (See r	note below).	DOCKET COORDINATOR
		they are not decissues for appear		on in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or simplifying the
		they present ad	ditional claims without can	celling a corresponding numbe	r of finally rejected claims.
	NO.	TE:			
				·	
					
	□ Ap	pplicant's respon	se has overcome the follow	ving rejection(s):	
X	Newly separa	y proposed or an	nended claims amendment cancelling the i	non-állowable claims.	would be allowable if submitted in a
	The artfor all	ffidavit, exhibit o owance because cant's arguments	or request for reconsiderations: e: or regarding the 2,4-diamino	on has been considered but do pentane bridge are persuasive.	es NOT place the application in condition . However, the comparison in
	The art for all Applied declared.	ffidavit, exhibit o owance because cant's arguments ration filed in the	or request for reconsiderations: secons regarding the 2,4-diamino exparent on 8/29/96 is not seconsidered be	on has been considered but do pentane bridge are persuasive. sufficient to overcome the rejec	es NOT place the application in condition
	The arthe arthe Ex	ffidavit, exhibit o owance because cant's arguments ration filed in the ffidavit or exhibi caminer in the fir	or request for reconsiderations: <u>s regarding the 2,4-diamino</u> <u>s parent on 8/29/96 is not so</u> t will NOT be considered be nal rejection.	on has been considered but do pentane bridge are persuasive. sufficient to overcome the reject ecause it is not directed SOLEL	es NOT place the application in condition . However, the comparison in ction of the claims as set forth in (cont) Y to issues which were newly raised by
	The artifor all Applied declared The artifor put	ffidavit, exhibit of owance because cant's arguments ration filed in the ffidavit or exhibit caminer in the firmurposes of Appearance	or request for reconsiderations: <u>s regarding the 2,4-diamino</u> <u>s parent on 8/29/96 is not so</u> t will NOT be considered be nal rejection.	on has been considered but do pentane bridge are persuasive. sufficient to overcome the reject ecause it is not directed SOLEL	es NOT place the application in condition However, the comparison in ction of the claims as set forth in (cont)
	The art for all Applied declar the Extended For put Claims	ffidavit, exhibit of owance because cant's arguments ration filed in the fidavit or exhibit caminer in the firm urposes of Appears allowed: O	or request for reconsiderations: <u>s regarding the 2,4-diaminors parent on 8/29/96 is not something the considered benal rejection.</u> al, the status of the claims	on has been considered but do pentane bridge are persuasive. sufficient to overcome the reject ecause it is not directed SOLEL	es NOT place the application in condition . However, the comparison in ction of the claims as set forth in (cont) Y to issues which were newly raised by
□ X I	The arfor all Application declar the Extended For put Claims	ffidavit, exhibit of cowance because cant's arguments ration filed in the fifidavit or exhibit caminer in the firm proses of Appears allowed: Os objected to: 1	or request for reconsiderations: <u>s regarding the 2,4-diaminors parent on 8/29/96 is not something the considered benal rejection.</u> al, the status of the claims	on has been considered but do pentane bridge are persuasive. sufficient to overcome the reject ecause it is not directed SOLEL	es NOT place the application in condition However, the comparison in ction of the claims as set forth in (cont) Y to issues which were newly raised by itten explan to the comparison in the claims as set forth in (cont).
	The arfor all Applic declar The arthe Ex For pu Claims Claims	ffidavit, exhibit of owance because cant's arguments ration filed in the filed in t	or request for reconsideration: Second reconsideration Second reparent on 8/29/96 is not set will NOT be considered be near rejection. The status of the claims I and 12	on has been considered but do pentane bridge are persuasive. sufficient to overcome the reject ecause it is not directed SOLEL is as follows (see attached wri	es NOT place the application in condition . However, the comparison in ction of the claims as set forth in (cont) Y to issues which were newly raised by
_	The art for all Applied declar. The art the Extended Extended Claims Claims The property of	ffidavit, exhibit of owance because cant's arguments ration filed in the ffidavit or exhibit caminer in the firmurposes of Appears allowed: Os objected to: 1/2, 3, roposed drawing	or request for reconsideration: sering regarding the 2,4-diamino or parent on 8/29/96 is not set will NOT be considered be not rejection. al, the status of the claims 1 and 12 5-10, and 16-18 correction filed on	on has been considered but do pentane bridge are persuasive. sufficient to overcome the reject ecause it is not directed SOLEL is as follows (see attached wri	es NOT place the application in condition However, the comparison in ction of the claims as set forth in (cont) Y to issues which were newly raised by itten expland to City ED s not been approved by the lexaminer.
	The art for all Applied declar. The art the Extended Extended Claims Claims Claims The property Note 1	ffidavit, exhibit of owance because cant's arguments ration filed in the ffidavit or exhibit caminer in the firmurposes of Appears allowed: Os objected to: 1/2, 3, roposed drawing the attached Info	or request for reconsideration: is regarding the 2,4-diamino or parent on 8/29/96 is not so the will NOT be considered be not rejection. al, the status of the claims 1 and 12 5-10, and 16-18 correction filed on primation Disclosure Statem	pentane bridge are persuasive. sufficient to overcome the reject ecause it is not directed SOLEL is as follows (see attached wri	es NOT place the application in condition However, the comparison in ction of the claims as set forth in (cont) Y to issues which were newly raised by itten expland to Circuit ED s not been approved by the Examiner.
	The art for all Applied declar. The art the Extended Extended Claims Claims Claims The property Note 1	ffidavit, exhibit of owance because cant's arguments ration filed in the ffidavit or exhibit caminer in the firmurposes of Appears allowed: Os objected to: 1/2, 3, roposed drawing the attached Info	or request for reconsideration: Seregarding the 2,4-diamino of parent on 8/29/96 is not set will NOT be considered be not rejection. If and 12 5-10, and 16-18 I correction filed on	pentane bridge are persuasive. sufficient to overcome the reject ecause it is not directed SOLEL is as follows (see attached wri	es NOT place the application in condition However, the comparison in ction of the claims as set forth in (cont) Y to issues which were newly raised by itten explant to Clay T. T. D. s not been approved by the Examiner.